

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/752,352	ALEXANDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(3) _____.

(2) John D. Cowart (Reg. 38,415).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 17, 19 and 20.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

IB Patel

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicant's representative and asked to amend claim 1, to include the limitation of claim 5 to overcome the combination of prior art of Archambeault and Ehman et al. and to place the application in condition of allowance. Also, asked to cancel claims 17 and 19. Applicant's representative gave permission to amend the application by examiner's amendment.